

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/789,814	02/27/2004	John G. Babish	068911-0075	5630	
7590 02/07/2008 Simona A. Levi_Minzi PH.D.,JD Mcdermott Will Emery LLp			EXAMINER		
			KANTAMNENI, SHOBHA		
201 S. Biscayne Boulevard, ste.2200 Miami, FL 33131			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, . 2 00			1617		
			·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/07/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			TH
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/789,814	BABISH ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Shobha Kantamneni	1617
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
WHI0 - Exte afte - If No - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a repl will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. ly be timely filed AS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
		s action is non-final. ance except for formal matter	•
Disnosit	tion of Claims		,
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>4-7</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) <u>NONE</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>4-7</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Applicat	tion Papers		
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) Objected to by	the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Apportity documents have been read (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sun	nmary (PTO-413)
2) 🔲 Notid 3) 🔯 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 09/26/2007.	Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date rmal Patent Application

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 09/26/2007 has been entered.

Applicant's amendment filed on 09/26/2007, wherein claims 4, and 7 have been amended. Applicant's amendment also cancelled claims 1-3.

The rejection of Claims 4-7 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-115 of copending Application No. 10/464410; the rejection of claims 4-7 as being unpatentable over claims 1-34 of copending Application No. 10/464834; the rejection of instant claims as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of copending Application No. 10/689856; unpatentable over claims 1-6 of copending Application No. 10/774048 made in the office action dated 05/04/2005 is MAINTAINED.

The rejection of Claims 4-7 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-115 of copending Applications 11/344555, 11/344556, 11/344557; the rejection of claims 4-7 as being unpatentable over claims 1-34 of copending Applications 11/344552, 11/344554, 11/403034; the Application/Control Number:

10/789,814 Art Unit: 1617

rejection of instant claims as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of copending Application No. 10/789817 made in the office action dated 10/19/2006 is MAINTAINED.

Applicant's amendment by cancelling claims 1-3 overcomes the rejection of claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C 102(e) as being anticipated by Shahlal et al. (US 6,583,322, PTO-892).

Applicant's arguments have been considered, and found persuasive. The rejection of claims 4-7 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tobe (US 5,604,263, PTO-892) is herein withdrawn.

Claims 4-7 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The claimed invention fails to find written description for possession of the claimed reduced isoalpha acid (RIAA) isolated from hops. The specification on page 10, paragraph [035], discloses that the term "reduced isoalpha acid" refers to alpha acids isolated from hops plant product and which subsequently have been isomerized and

Application/Control Number:

10/789,814 Art Unit: 1617

reduced, including cis and trans forms. Thus, the specification does not provide support for "reduced isoalpha acid isolated from hops" since according to the specification reduced alpha acids are not naturally occurring from hops, and have to go through a series of steps such as isomerization and reduction to obtain from hops. Thus, the claims need to be limited to the claimed reduced isoalpha acids as derived from hops, since that is all is on record as having possessed by the applicant at the time the invention was made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 7 contains the abbreviations or trademark/trade RIAA, and IAA in the claim. Where a trademark or trade or abbreviation name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Exparte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the abbreviation or trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A abbreviation or trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a abbreviation or trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the abbreviation trademark/trade name

Application/Control Number:

10/789,814 Art Unit: 1617 Page 5

or abbreviation is used to identify/describe particular agent, accordingly, the

identification/description is indefinite.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Shobha Kantamneni whose telephone number is 571-

272-2930. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday, 8am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, Ph.D can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shobha Kantamneni, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit: 1617